## **Biofields: research from the Star Gate Files**

# Teresa L. Frisch, RN

## March 1, 2009

I find *any* discussion of biofields intriguing, and thanks to The Star Gate Interactive Files<sup>i</sup> I was able to read research information by a team of Russian scientists!<sup>ii</sup> Over 90,000 historical CIA files have been released to the public, and as explained by Paul Smith, current President of the International Remote Viewing Association and former member of Star Gate, they can be owned by anyone.<sup>iii</sup>

Among other things, I found the discussion regarding situational and non-situational learners completely fascinating because it is very similar to the research findings of the Dreyfus Brothers in 1) Scope, Limits and Training Implications of Three Models of Aircraft Pilot Emergency Response Behavior<sup>jv</sup>; 2) The Psychic Boom: Flying Beyond The Thought Barrier<sup>v</sup> and 3) The Dreyfus Model of Skill Acquisition.<sup>vi</sup> This is not a boring read! Their discussion regarding telepathy, transmission, and sharing information between two separate people held my attention from start to finish. The following quote is from the StarGate Files, and cited as above:

In analyzing a given problem situation, the individual com-tructs a model of that situation that consists of, once again, the equivalents of the elements that make up the problem, plus the interactions between those equivalents. A good example of how the model of the situation is constructed is the process that takes place in the head of a chess player when he is analyzing his position on the chessboard. When he considers his position, the chess player perceives the pieces as functional points of sorts that have given properties of movement. In comprehending those properties of movement, he constructs a system of relationships among the pieces that become the basis of the functioning of his game strategy. It's not difficult to see that that process - just like the process of instantaneous, simultaneous recognition that we alluded to - presumes, of necessity, the existence of biofield interactions: the relationships constructed in the analysis of the situation absolutely must interact with the relationships that constitute the content of the chess player's experience. Only on the basis of the realization of past relationships can the semantic system of a new situation develop. —Avrdmenko, Nikolayeva and Pushkin

Many thanks to Darryl, "Daz" Smith for helping me find these files, but as Paul said, anyone can own them. They are rich with the scientific research and history of remote viewing. Tamra Temple has spent a massive amount of time and energy compiling all 90,000 files into <a href="The Star Gate Interactive Archive">The Star Gate Interactive Archive</a>. The remote viewing community owes her a debt of gratitude. Should you be interested in researching them yourself you may contact her through the link provided.

<sup>&</sup>quot;The Star Gate Interactive Archives." The Central Intelligence Agency's Star Gate Collection Archives.

Avrdmenko, R.F., Nikolayeva, V.I, Pushkin, V.N. "Informational Interaction of Isolated Systems Without Energy: 1. Problem of the informational component of biofield interactions." Unknown city, USSR. (Unknown Pub Date) Unknown pp 341 – 357. Rpt. StarGate Interactive Archives. Approved For Release 2000/08/15: CIA-RDP96-00792ROO0500230003-5.

Smith, Paul H. "The Truth Is Out There – Finally!" Remote Viewing Instructional Services. 1 Mar. 2009. <a href="http://rviewer.com/ArchiveArticle.html">http://rviewer.com/ArchiveArticle.html</a>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>IV</sup> Dreyfus, Stuart E, Dreyfus, Hubert L. "The Scope, Limits, and Training Implications of Three Models of Aircraft Pilot Emergency Response Behavior." Operations Research Center, Air Force Office of Scientific Research (AFSC), USAF, under Grant AFOSR-78-3594 with the University of California, Berkeley. Feb 1979. Rpt. U.S Department of Commerce, National Technical Information Service. pg. 18.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>v</sup> Dreyfus, Hubert L., Dreyfus, Stuart E. "The Psychic Boom: Flying Beyond the Thought Barrier." Operations Research Center, Air Force Office of Scientific Research (AFSC), USAF, under Grant AFOSR-78-3594 with the University of California, Berkeley. Mar 1979. Rpt. U.S. Department of Commerce, National Technical Information Service. pg. 3.

vi Dreyfus, Stuart E., Dreyfus, Hubert L. "A Five-Stage Model of the Mental Activities Involved in Directed Skill Acquisition." ." Operations Research Center, Air Force Office of Scientific Research (AFSC), USAF, under Contract F49620-79-C-0063 with the University of California, Berkeley. Feb 1980. 1 Mar. 2009. <a href="http://stinet.dtic.mil/cgibin/GetTRDoc?AD=ADA084551&Location=U2&doc=GetTRDoc.pdf">http://stinet.dtic.mil/cgibin/GetTRDoc?AD=ADA084551&Location=U2&doc=GetTRDoc.pdf</a>

vii "The Star Gate Interactive Archives. New, Indexed, Hyperlinked Edition of The Central Intelligence Agency's Star Gate Collection Archives, volumes A – G. Tamra Temple. 2006. 1 Mar 2009. <a href="http://www.stargate-interactive.com">http://www.stargate-interactive.com</a>

Search Request: "optical hologram"

Total files: 2

## CIA\_RDP96\_00792R000500230003.TXT

Hits: 2

Location: M:\stargate docs\ARRANGED\1992 - STARGATE MAINLY NARCOTICS\CIA\_RDP96\_00792R000500230003.TXT

Size: 41,793 Last modified: 15/08/2000

### [Page 1 Paragraph 1]

Unknown pp 341-357

 $SGA\sim proved\ For\ Release\ 200BAOM (SAXXAr\&Ri9M6-00792ROO05002300034/,$ 

(A

30

rt-En~crnnsf

informational Interaction of Isolated Systems Without Encrgy Cr 92A50046 Unknown city - USSR Unknown in Russian Unknown (Unknown Pub Date)

[Article by R. F. Avrdmenko, V. 1. Nikolayeva, and V. N. Pushkin [Text]

1. Problem of the information component of biofield interactions.

A special feature of biofield inter-detions is the trunsfer of information from one biofield structure to another. Two Vfpes of relatioaship can be articulated for structures of that kind that effect the process of information tt-ansfer. One type of structure is associated with interactions within a system, such as the brain. An example of such a biofield inter-action could be instantaneous -- in the terminology of psychology, simultaneous - recognition. That recognition of very familiar objects suggests the interaction of a biofield model of an impression that comes from without and structures that were previously formed and are models of already perceived objects. Resonant contact of that soft produces the effect of virtually instantaneous dr-awing on past experience of a needed reference and can be considered the mechanism underlying simultaneous recognition.

Processes associated with thinking and with problem solving can be placed in that category of inform.ational inter-actions between systems that are spatially isolated from each other. In the course of mental activity, the individual is known to create for himself something new, and that new sema tic system usually enAbles the individual to solve a complex problem facing him. As numerous psychological studies show, the principal language the individual uses in his thinking is the language of systems of relationships between objects. If one approaches that psychological reality from the standpoint of the formation and work of biofield structures, then two components can be articulated in a system of relationships - certam biofield equivalents of objects, and the stable field interactions of those equivalents, interactions that are the equivalents of the interactions between the objects.

In analyzing a given problem situation, the individual com-tructs a model of that situation that consists of, once again, the equivalents of the elements that make up the problem, plus the FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Approved For Release 2000/08/15: CIA-RDP96-00792ROO0500230003-5

Approved For Release 2000/08/15: CIA-RDP96-00792ROO0500230003-5 FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

interactions between those equivalents. A good example of how the model of the situation is constructed is the process that takes place in the head of a chess player when he is analyzing his position on the chessboard. When he considers his position, the chess player perceives the pieces as functional points of sorts that have given properties of movement. In comprehending those properties of movement, he constructs a system of relationships among the pieces that become the basis of the functioning of his game strategy.

It's not difficult to see that that process - just like the process of instantaneous, simultaneous recognition that we alluded to - presumes, of necessity, the existence of biofield interactions: the relationships constructed in the analysis of the situation absolutely must interact with the relationships that constitute the content of the chess player's experience. Only on the basis of the realization of past relationships can the semantic system of a new situation develop. Thus, analysis shows that the resonance between biofield strucqgesJs also a very important aspect in an individual's -thinkl~g. But in that context, it is not a resonance of representations of a single specific object that tak place, but a resonance of systems that include certain field equivalents of objects and of the relationships among them.

The exchange of information between complical objects can be considered another 0.1 ormational biofield interaction. An example of that sort of interaction is leldp-ithE, when information-tha is not encoded in known languages specialty designed for the-effin-der of information is transmitted from one individual to another.

As a great deal of the literature shows, those sorts of bioinformational interactions can involve the transfer of the most varied of types of psychological manifestations. With telgpiAthy it ia pQ~sible to convey an actiQn,-the-imagcA4q\_s~jg&-t,-:4-~meaningfu-l- symbolic structure, or an emotional state. That means that in that kind of bioinformational contact, there is an interaction of biofield systems of various levels and modalities of the brains of two individuals who are separated from each other.

All those V

ypes of biofield interdctions in which infbrmation is transferred ffom one system W4 to another, are characterized first and f6remoA\_byjlW\_fact that the transf er of information t are associating

involves no direct en~Ea expense. Of course, each of the biofield systems tha -th jr- -a ~e some amount of energy for its very existence. It's also probable that the features of the information exchange between the systems -- the clarity, the efficiency, and the m)-called capacity of the exchange - are associated with the energy characteristics of those systems. The process itself of informational interaction, unlike known hardware systems, does not require energy.

In that context, the problem arises of iden4fy~pg the p WgoLlaws\_dmt\_wQuld iz~hlc\_Oneto undertake the analysis of the 06-r-mational interaction between §:p4#"l s

&~i7~ot -requiFe many ixpenliture of energ \_~s~ems that

y for its existence. Later, it will be shown that there

xiiis in m e theoretical- -d-ex"

e~ an ental data that enable us to take

up an examination of just such an energy-free transfer of information.

Approved For Release 200MUf9fglcC4AL-WbWM-00792ROO0500230003-5

Approved For Release 2000/08/15: CIA-RDP96-00792ROO0500230003-5 FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Here we should pause on certain aspects of biofield simctures. That's all the more a good idea because the concept itself of biological ~ field remains essentially undefined and is far from being completely analyzed in theoretical terms. The concept comes to biology from physics and is a unique analogy of the remote interactions between objects that are. trwitionally calledfields in physics.

It is unquestionable, however, that the reality that got the name of biological field from-A, G. Gurvich has, from the very outset, certain properties that indicate that the remote 1—ctio—ns between biological systems are substantially different from the physical inter-actions to which the concept of field has been affixed.

The most s1riking pEg2gM of the biofield - one that is  $T \sim c$  a $\sim d$  fundamentally uniq $\sim ie.--$ . SO  $\sim T$  e cells of the cortex

is its dynamic systematism complicated by the

 $--\sim f \sim WW$ 

of the major hemispheres, for example, which make up a certain functional system of the brain, can detect field inter-Actions between themselves, although they may be separated by

ratheTconsiderable amounts of space. Those cells cannot, however, have any kind of field interactions with cells that are situated right next to them. Moreover, it is obvious that functional siructures are constantly changing as a function of the tasks of human activity. That is why any given biofield interaction of any element of the brain may be replaced after a given period of time by an interaction with totally different elements. Physical fields, as a rule, are not acquainted with such selective systematism or such dynamism among elements. The informativeness of biofield structures must also be considered a unique quality. AJ W-ysis shows that biofields always come about and function only in the context of the processes of generation and transfer of biological information. That Unk between biological fields and bioinformational processes is a property intrinsic to those unique fields. Unlike biofields, known physical fields can perform the function of information carrier only if some outside influence is applied to them. It is significant that the informativeness of a physical field, which comes about as the result of the exertion of a systematic outside influence -- the modulation of an electromagnetic field, for example -- has no significance whatsoever for the existence and functioning of the physical system that generates or receives such modulation. The whole of the informativeness of the dynamics of physical fields has meaning only for the individual who created the physical systems in question -- radio receivers and transmitters, for example.

But with biological fields, their informativeness is directly linked to the existence, the life process of the biological systems in which the fields function.

All those unique features of biological field interactions make them so different from the field interactions of objects known to physics that they make the term "field" itself, as applied to the processes of living systems, extremely arbitrary and, to a large extent, metaphorical. Perhaps, in the future we will think about creating a new concept, one that more adequately reflects the properties of those specific [illegible] interactions.

Approved For Release 20OWdb9iV'~-'di'A--~Wig-00792ROOO-500230003.5

### Approved For Release MM6~fib.-8fA-\*~96-00792ROO0500230003-5

For all their fundamental uniqueness, however, what we are now calling interactions between biofield structures are interactions that are either physical or biophysical. And the fact that those complex interactions are beyond the pale not only of physics, but also of all of natural science indicates that in our picture of the world, something fundamental was left out when the principles of natural-science inquiry were being formed.

There is reason to believe that that omission was associated with the fact that the object of most of the study of natural sciences was matter and the processes taking ph-ice in it. Of course, one cannot help but note that, in addition to matter, the objects filling the world al > Oyg~. But in the system of the world, the form of an ~6j~has knot been g~iven the significance of a fundamental factor. The category of form was made chiefly the object of philosophical analysis. However, the actual existence-Qf form in object-,~~-always-. spec fic material simctureposes the c~~en bgdfto~~fiSIM~ S.

At present, the reality of the physical properties - especially fielq\_\_pro qbqs - of the form in

..I)e-\_

obiects can be i:Wo-ve~ bv manv grour)s of facts. Amunz-wch facts are the ddta'- -t h--at-point W-the existence of a charg J lay r around the human tWy. Those data also include data indicating the effectiveness of manipulating biologically active points of the skin. As ancient theoreticians of 4~~e assert, life-giving enSa is concentrated around the human body in the space near the skin.

Constituting another group of facts pointing to the existence of forms as wave (or field) structures are those of (?Iozokhodstva?), which was named too broadly and not entirely adequately by the term "biophysical effect." Analysis shows that the basis of that effect may be the interaction of the structural features of the external field (aurd) of an operator and the external fields (form) of the sought-for object.

There is reason to believe that the properties of biofield structures that are responsible for the

informational interaction of those structures are linked to specific features of the form in Discovering those features could be of interest-to a

whole array of branches of knowledge; it presumes a sTecial theoretical and experimental analysis of fundamental problems. Such analysis will probably be performed in the near future.

In the context of all these remarks, of special interest are the physical data that are already enabling the analysis of the possibilities of informational interactions. In particular, of great importance are the physical tenets that demonstrate how information exchange can take place, with no expenditure of energy, between spatially separate systems.

H. A possible physical interpretation of the informational interaction between isolated systems, without the transfer of energy.

The experimental data accumulated to date on informational interaction of biological systems' that is not an exchange of information via electromagnetic signals or particles force us to FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Approved For. Release 2000/08/15: CIA-RDP96-00792ROO0500230003-5

Approved For Release 20DWPNAI - 6Y"PP96-00792ROO0500230003-5 FOR L

analyze more carefully the alternative possibilities Of communication between isolated systems eas damental

and, above all, possibilities that are f ible in the context of the existing fun physical concepts.

ereexisU a consislent Pos

d of energy carn the

information between wsterns without ft involvement of e ldn - er, with posmyffty based-on the eilstence of a 4-x 14th dimension?] field  $0\sim$ =tial d6linct from z-crOwith the fillegiblel components of that field E and R absolutelY eQual t0

The formal body of mathematics for modern eloctrodynamics, cOmImcted with sequential use of the principles of the theory of relativity,3 shows that an electromagnetic field is most adequately described by a 4x field potential P), whereas r and 'N represent only a aA 4

few combinations of derivatives of its components t - -4~ (P -and 1-3=X

Today's engineering, however, often uses field potentials saiperficially, for simplifying mathematical computations in the calculation in practical problems of the components r and 11, and those very components are felt to provide a complete description of an elm-tromagnetic field in its interaction with matter r:- = I ( $E \sim VX8$ )

The interpretation of fields W and (P as "real hysical fields" is hindered, to some extent, by j m- - T-z Wff-t-yv--a-n-& M c

their mathematical ambi -Tme 0sion in the ii~ature about choice of We. At the \*A - -;P LP

same time, it known that only a Lorentz gage - L -is relativistically invariant, whereas, for example, the oft-used gage C& = o is recl&=~cally not invariant and indicates the existence of purely transverse - i.e., plane - waves of potential, something that electromagnetic waves with infinitely luge energies would conform to.

In theoretical physics, it is -the potentials of T and fillegiblel that naturally go into the expressions for the action integral and the Hamiltonian ffinction. In quantum elec-trodynamics, variation in the quantum mechanical phase of a particle of an electromagnetic field is determined by the well-known Feynman integral &0. i.e.' it is a function of the field potential itself.

In the 1960s, Jaklevic, Lambe, Mercereau, and Silver'-' and SiC and Chamber-0 conducted a number of experiments that were specially set up for 4q~~.the, manifestation of field potential \*.4; Of space in which-E-=~F=-D-(w~ith reuse ~~Uw W=91M - \_qf~p~

 $jj2Lt?\sim e\sim ff[by Jaklevic]$  and electron dffTaction [by Chambers-1). The work by those individuals demonstrated experimentally the possibility of detecting field potential when E=F=O and thereby showed the UmiRedness of the "engineering" description of an

electromagnetic field with E and B. to

M!!2~ou~nce th ~IAToten#gs.'

The successful experiments of Jaklevic and Chambers legitimize the issue of the "reality" of the ond way

e of a c-d~ppje). As

OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Approved For Release 20008/15: CIA-RDP96-00792ROO0500230003-5

Approved For ReledWjMffftjj%S1P RURDP96-00792RO00500230003-5 we know, the solution of an electrodynamic Problem involving the determination Of the radiation field of an electrical dipole, is based on the existence in the entire space of a

spherical wave A atin" at the sixed Of, lght and with an amplitude thA

I proportional to distance, with the vector A parallel to the axis Of the dipole manner 619

at each point in space.9.10 pqtecting those waves at a distance would open the YvaY-W-realization of a new 1,~ Of W-OrEMAI-iOn  $\_$ =&E- The ,fomation M,,,d be transferred transfer of not  $\sim$ :-aM 91M

Energyl, ier, m\_uA\_k\_eM2gnded \_at the

-known

(We note that in the well

experiments for the detection of A when 1T=1y=0, energy from an outside source is expended in the detector.) The need to expend energy in the receiver and in the transmitter removes the apparent contradiction in the concept in question with the law Of conservation of energy" We know that the problem of the physical reality of field -and of the gms

thei"RKTO\_T\_\_~61 closely related to the problem of gage invariance of Nuati s. of quantum S,cs~

or measuring and comparing

energy. However, if in experiment, the possibility is realized f te

phases of #-waves that correspond to certain elements A, B... of the SYS m M=A+B+..., then, after expending W the manner indicated above some amount of energy on the process Of that measurement, it is possible to Obtain information on the values of the components of 4x field potentials at the location Of system M-

it should be noted that the phase relationsU)s-wsociated with de BrovJie waves are the data that have-shown the wave nature

For example, the classic experiments of Davisson and Germer were the first to observe diffraction of electron \*-Waves reflected Off single-crystal structures'16 Thomson's experiments were the first to detect interference rings formed by electron waves on a photographic plate (as well as light and X-ray rings)-"

The interference of electron waves was the Principal effect observed in later experiments and geared to corroborating wave mechanics and in experiments conducted by TartakovskiY's Fabrikant et al. 19

The

Of

Wp hgolpa

than in engineering

are used more w

At present, that process has begun to be used in electron microscopy for producing holograms U, 14, 15

based on electron 4r-waves.

A coherent electron #-wave source, as we Imow, can be any device that is capable of emitting electrons into a vacuum with little velocity (or impuLse) straggling and that has small FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Approved For Release 2000/08/15: CIA-RDP96-00792ROO0500230003.5

Approved For Release 2000/08/15: CIA-RDP96-00792ROO0500230003-5 FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

physical dimensions (a point source).

in well-known early experiments, various Lry,%W structures were usually used as the object of which a hologram had to be recorded."s That was because the length of the electron wave is determined by the d6'Broglie relation

I = h/mv.

where 1 1 Div is Planck's constant, rn is the electron mass, and v is the electron velmity With an electron energy of 1-100 eV, wavelength is 1=(1.5-0.015) IW cm, so that for observation of interference phenomena, the use of crystals with a lattice element spacing of approximately 10' cm is effective.

At the same time, methods developed in recent years for "cooling" particle beams, thereby enabling the achievement of extremely low values for particle velocity straggling, make it technically possible to produce beams with low total energy. For example, electrons have been slowed to an energy of 10,1 eV, with the wavelength of approximately 0.05 JLM approaching the wavelengths of the visible range.

The small absolute length of \*-waves for electrons with energies of 1-100 eV, most easily detected directly via photoemulsion exposure, makes the technical realization of the holognaphic process more difficult than if light waves were used.

For observing and recording the interference pattern produced by macro bodies even the size of 10-100 ILm, one must use either larger distances from the object to the photographic plate or electron optics that result in the period of the spatial pulsations being larger than the resolution element of the emulsion.'

The use of "cold" particle beams with large wavelength and low energy for \*-holography raises the issue of what method is to be used to record the amplitude distribution of the wave in the detection plane - direct exposure of photoemulsion at a particle energy of < 0.1 eV, for example, could be virtually absent. Certam difficulties can also arise in the successive recording of the hologram with scanning by an electron beam detector.

To record \*-holograms based on cold electrons, it could turn out that it is best to use various methods of intermediate, simultaneous conversion of the interference pattern - electron-microscopy methods of image transformation and enhancement and use of enhancement of the "\*-image" via direct acceleration of the "cold" electrons between the plane for recording the \*-hologram and the photodetector, and methods of enhancement that attach electrons to electronegative molecules with subsequent acceleration of those molecules in an electrical field, etc.

As with the visible and radio ranges of electromagnetic waves, methods of basic intensity Approved For Release 200%96b94WC'dtk-fUM-00792ROO0500230003-5

Approved For Release 27poyiffItqtvLq&-BRM6-00792ROO0500230003-5 holography, in which only the square of the amplitude of the interference pattern is recorded, are entirely applicable to \*-holography, as are methods of holography that use a reference beam, thereby enabling the detection of the amplitude-phase distribution of the \*-field. Controlling the phase front of the \*-wave with electrical and magnetic fields- -- something that has been perfected in electron microscopy - makes it possible to implement various hologram-recording systems that are well-known toddy for the optical range (for example, forming reference beams that have a plane or spherical phase front and fall on the hologram recording plane at a given angle).

The essential difference between\_J:~ ~loaphy and standard hol2gra based on

e >

That difference shows u e of rectirding yn- when the radiation , cannot be considered monochromatic. " nkets (pulses) in free space (or in a vacuum) does p2Ltak&\_pLntbx\_dc9EQma -0c -and-is--Zn, . wAves

pecM4ar e -wave.

III. Features of the playback of holograms and the uncertainty principle.

As with standard optical hologruphy, irradiating a \*-hologram with a coherent \*-wave (a single-energy flux of wave-particles) makes it possible to produce a three-dimensional image.

Of greatest interest as regards the \*-wave (unlike with the **optical hologram**) is, apparently, the virtual j\*!!!Me, which has a number of features of the actual object recorded in the hologram.

The greatest 1-wave concentration is 11hzPTVPil in !he e ~jf

T4ce -in which. -the virtual

\_\_\_\_\_-

image is formed, and location of the individual elements of the image is determined, naturally, only by the nature of the spatial distribution of the wave emanating from the plane of the #-hologram.

We should note, however, a number of fundamental questions that arise in connection with the possibility of synthesizing an image with a \*-hologram.

First, there is the possibility of self-stress in the virtual image that is obtained and in its elements - in general, J:W4yr,,——For example, electrons are pushed apart by electrical forces and are scattered on other electrons (unlike electromagnetic waves, which obey linear equations with great precision).

Next, a virtual #-image can obviously interact directly with an external electromagnetic field, since, generally sTeaking, that image constitutes a material medium with a given distribution of density and other parameters.

Finally, the possibility of a given placement of the "quantum mechanical" object in space in FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Approved For Release 2000/08/15: CIA-RDP96-00792ROO0500230003-5

#### FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Approved For Release 2000/08/15: CIA-RDP96-00792ROO0500230003-5 the la of the holo , in large m of the pulse (de Br

playbAck of -gram easure independent

wavelength) magnitude, evokes the natural question o whether such a possibility contradi the known Heisenberg unc tainty-relation (see Vikhman" and Davydov, 29 among others). Let us pause in more detail on that question.

In recent decades, in connection with the rapid progress in the theory and technology of radar measurements, a number of works that have become classics have examined the overall statistical theory, principles, and limitations associated with measurements that use wave processes. It has become clear that in aca W- situations, when wave processes (an electromagnetic field, for example) can have a certain, rather complex space-time modulation, the potential capabilities of measurements of target coordinates (range, sWed, angle, etc.) are determined by so-called general uncertainty principles (see, for example, Shirman' and Urkovitts~', as well as Bakut er at.' and 1~fiddleton,' among others).

>

In the 1950s, in particular, the development of the theory oQ  $\sim$  "de-b-  $\sim$  rq  $\sim$  ball uncertainty\_pLi pcile with regard to joint demonstrated the

a٥

temporary position and [illegible] of a wave measurement of target range and speed (i.e., packet).

It turned out that, as correctly pointed by Vakman,2\* that principle reflects not the potential capabilities of measurements of actual physical magnitudes, but just some trivial fillegible] typical of the most basic type of oscillation [illegible] of a sine curve with a square, Gaussian envelope or one similar to it. In other words, the Heisenberg principle [illegible] that if an oscillation could have a duration of T-T, then its Fourier [illegible] could not be narrower than Sf- such that r-gf-2:.i.

Before the early 1950s, wideband signals were not known in radar, and it was mista enly believed that the potential capabilities of joint measurement of target range and speed (not a single probing signal) were limited by a given "relation of durations," i.e., the longer the probing signal, the more accurately the Doppler frequency shift (speed) is measured, but the less accurately the delay of the [illegible] signal (range) is measured.

In 1953, the pioneering work 2K~~ame out, fillegible] for the first time was reveal;a-fillegiblel of such notions-IrWime clear that spectral width of the signal W and

Modem detection equipment (radars, sonar, etc.) would be unthinkable without the use of wideband signals with TW>I, frequency modulation, and phase manipulation. '-2' Woodward's general uncertainty principle holds true for such signals, saying that the potential capabilities of measurements are determined by a type of autocorrelation function & (-r, w) FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Approved For Release 2000/08/15: CIA-RDP96-00792ROO0500230003-5

```
Approved For Release 2ffo~MSIAIZIA-EOPb6-00792ROO0500230003-5
[sic] of the "wave packet" of the probing signal s(t)
(*- el-' (it
```

where L: is the total energy of the sign-al.

The function C(7, r) generally has its greatest value (peak) at the origin of the coordinates T=O, ta =0, and the width of the peak is - I/w in terms of the T axis and -2-r/T in terms of the Z.) axis. Those intervals also determine the potential accuracies of measurements in sequential statistical theory. But the Woodward uncertainty principle itself asserts that a volume bounded by (~y and the plane (7-, t)) is finite and is equal to a constant, regardless of the type of wave packet,

```
+ C'o + C--j
V = I
2'.
C4 -CW0
```

Figures la and lb depict the image of a typical autocorrelation function of a wideband-(phase-modulated) signaj and, for comparison, the topographic image of that function for an unm(xhilated radio pulse of the same duration T.

Signal at the filtar output

FREQUENCY-MODULATED PULSE

AAAA.. V V V VOL - t so PHASE-MODULATED PULSE N1 A in A M M.

WVVVW U

Autocorrelation function of the signal Tbi

Figure I a

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Approved For Release 2000/08/15: CIA-RDP96-00792ROO0500230003-5

Approved For Release 2000/08/15: CIA-RDP96-00792ROO0500230003-5 FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY Signals (wave packets) and uncertainty functions W4MATED **PULSE** T 6v 4 \_7

WMTED fREW4MATED RADIO PULSE PULSE

T

W -7\*

Figure lb

Thus, we see that if the position of the wave packet in terms of the r axis were determined only by its envelope curve, then the \*relation of durations" would hold true - increasing the duration of the [illegible] of oscillations would lead to a worsening of the accuracy of measurement of that position. (We are, of course, speaking of the statistical approach generally used both in wave (quantum) mechanics and in modem radar.) That's not so in an actual case of optimal processing of a wideband signal. The measuring device (filter or correlator), using a priori information on the type of interpulse modulation of the wave packet, makes independent measurements of position with an accuracy of 11W and of Doppler frequency with an accuracy of I/T; expansion of the signal spectrum W does not worsen the accuracy of measurement of sTeed of - 1/T.

The modem statistical theory for the measurement of parameters of wave processes is fully applicable to wave (quantum) mechanics.

In making that application, we must, of course, move from the primitive understanding of the essence of measurements in the context of Heisenberg ("relation of durations") to the modem concept of the limitations on those measurements, which has come about as a result of the development of the theory of statistical radio physics.

We cannot fail to note that in the modern literature on quantum mechanics, the use of the Heisenberg principle and the explanation of it as a fundamental relationship (!) is often somewhat peculiar. In the well-known Berkeley Course, for example, for purposes of illustration, there are figures of wave packets with intrapulse modulation "for which the accuracy of measurement of frequency is low," although a figure depicts what is e&wntially a Approved For Release :[(?O%?&Slfe-~&h-K'UP96-00792ROO0500230003-5

#### **IABUSE ONLY**

Approved For Release 2686/99%: IA-RDP96-00792ROO0500230003-5 wideband signal for which that assertion does not hold true." Why, in fact, has quantum th lagged behind modern radio, p

statistical limitati -ofjoint measurements of a number of parameters?

As we can see, the possibility of achieving the potential accuracy of measurements is governed by two factors:

-the formation of a wave packet with of modulation process\* \_(in the

- the use of a measurement device the performs the iDrocedure of ontimal 1P

statistical theory o sics, that is a procedure fbi[ cqp $\sim$ t $\sim$ gc:#gg\_4R\_,q\_po eriori distribution of the probability of the.presence of a target\_ $\sim$ !jtk-&qq\_pg $\sim$ 7am $\sim$ ten A\_ $\sim$ !pge and T $\sim$ qa'

Both those factors have simply been outside the circle of questions studied in quantum theory. For example, the examination of the property of wave packets (de Broglie waves) is usually limited to the s"- ed quasiclassical approximation

11 " 1-

it

where P is the pulse and v is the Hamiltonian operator. In other words, it is limited to cases in which phase modulation [illegible] at a distance commensurate with wavelength X = h/mV [illegible] that constraint is essentially equivalent to the exclusion [illegible] -ment of wideband \*-waves with a marked frequency modulation (to say nothing of phase-modulated signals-).

On the other hand, the measuring device is usually spoken of as a primitive device that

records the intensity of a wave in a given region of space, but the obvious possibility-d recording intravulse phase relationships is completely ignored (and that in spite of the fact that phase relationships, as already noted above, He at the basis of many modem macroscopic

quantum insiruments!).

In summing up what has been said, one can assert that no fundamental physical laws are known that would prompt attaching to the Heisenberg relation the sense of a "relation of > uncertainties" that determines the potential capabilities of measurements. Quantum ry should use, as does modern stAtistical radio physics, the general unceqa~-tq\_--in j;a~mcula~r,e W6o\_Jw\_-ar p~!~ciple, which adequately reflects the true limitations on the process of measuring "additional" magnitudes.

Achieving accuracy in the measurement of the p2siti

ob .ect in conformity with the Woodward rincl 1e rP11111n-.,;: 0 CO e , .-M.-P sic. eRSn~ment of a competently designe~\_~eco~d instrument that musare nd not to \*-wave intensity, but also to phase relations in w4ye P c t~i~ecpivW. An examination of 01

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Approved For Release 2000/08/15: CIA-RDP96-00792ROO0500230003-5

### FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Approved For Release 2000/08/15: CIA-RDP96-00792ROO0500230003-5 sToecific methods for desgriing such instruments, however, is beyond the scope of this, paper. Returning to the question of playing back an \*-wave image, we see that there is no contradiction between the possibility of a stipulated location of that i.mage and any fundamental, vedfied physical law.

The analysis that has betm made demonstrates that the use of modern mathematics (for example, the Woodward uncertainty principle) in quantum mechanics opens the possibility of recording phase relations between various parts of an isolated system M = A + B + ... Those parts can be segments of a wave packet with a complex law of phase modulation. Phase modulation of those separate segments of the packet, in conformity with the Feynman integral, can be assigned external conditions created by another isolated system - values of the components of 4x potential at the location of system M.

We note once again that we are looking at phase modulation of a \*-wave in a space only, with the energy of system M remaining constant. According to the Woodward uncertainty principl such i phase modulation requires using measuring devices that perforr optimal g of the \*-wave like the optimal procming of electromagnetic siii6- 'iE ... Such devices, as fa-r as-w-eTm-ow, have not been developed for

quantum processes.

At the same time, one can

-into, and M§Lxl \_inbio~loc

that the capacity for such information exchange is built

,information. andit-

is not only the transmitting system, but also the receiving system that in (that is, must be expended for the reception of i

The transfer of information between biological systems is closely linked to brain functionand thou relation to which is developing

3M:O f31

uerff-frqg ~on 't~u~~~ -P er of-

~information in remote communications between biological -objects then one begins to understand many experimental data thus far accumulated in bioenergetics and bioelectronics~ are not contained in the

References

1. Putkhof, Targ. "Perceptive long-distance channel for transmission and information. THRE, No 3, 1976.

,~2j R. F. A~ ~amenko, L. P. Grachev, V. 1. Nikolayeva. "Description of electromagnetic FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Approved For Release 2000/08/15: CIA-RDP96-00792ROO0500230003-5

Approved For Release 2~gg/841p,,kpyktf~REP6-00792ROO0500230003-5 fields, via potentials, and Energy transfer problems. w Paper given at the Fourth International Symposium on Information Theory. Leningrad, 1976.

- 3. L. D. Landau, Ye. M. Lifshits. "Teofiya polya" [Field 7heoryl. Moscow: Izdatels-tvo Nauka, 1973.
- 4. D. K. Maks-vell. "Izzvrannyye sochinenlya po teorii elektromagnitnogo polya" [Selected Works in Electromagnetic Field 7heory]. Moscow: GI TTL, 1954.
- 5. R. Feynman, R. Leyton, M. Sends [sic]. \*Feynmanovskiye lektsii po fizike" [Feynman Lectures on Physics], Vol 6. Moscow: lzdatelstvo "Mir," 1966.
- 6. R. C. Jahlevic [sic], J. Lambe, A. H. Silver, J. E. Mercereau. "Quantum interference effects in Josephson tunneling." PHYS. REV. LETTERS, Vol 12, No 7, February 1964.
- 7. R. C. Jahlevic [sic], J. Lambe, A. H. Silver, J. E. Mercereau. 'Quantum interference from a static vector potential in a field-free region." PHYS. REV. LETTERS, Vol 12, No 11, March 1964,
- 8. R. G. Chambers. "Schift [sic] of an electron interference pattern by [illegible] magnetic flux." PHYS. REV., 5 (1960).
- 9. K. A. Krug "Osnovy elektrotekhniki" [Foun&Wons of Electronics]. Moscow: Gosenergoizdat, 1960.
- 10. G. G. Markov. "Antenny" [Antennas]. Moscow: Gosenergoizdd-t, 1960.
- 11. L. Brillyuen. "Nauka i teoriya informatsii" [Science and Infommition 77zeoryl. Moscow: Fizmatgiz, [illegible).
- 12. R. Tolmen. "Otnositel'nost', termodinamika i kosmologii" [RelatiWty, 77iermodynamics, and Cosmology]. Moscow: IzdateIstvo "Nauka," 1974.
- 13. Yu. V. Vorobyev, V. A. Zhukov. "Semiconical illumination and effect of volume in an electron microscope image." Paper given at the Fifth All-Union Conference on Electron Microscopy. Tashkent, 1976.
- 14. M. Troyon, R. Bonhomme, 0. Gallion. "Use of autoemission electron gun in a transmission electron microscope for higher resolution and single-beam Fraunhofer holography." J. NHCROSC. ET SPECTROSC. ELECTRON, 1976, Vol 1, No 3, pp 517, 518.
- 15. A. Huiser, A. Drenth, H. Ferwerda. "On phase retrieval in electron microscopy from image and diffraction pattern." OPTIK, 1976, 45, pp 303-316. Approved For Release 20b~%ff/~b"tl)~-kB'H6-00792ROO0500230003-5

Approved For Release 20q"gg§d,QPd4RORN-00792ROO0500230003-5

- 16. C. Davisson, C. H. Kunsman. PHYS. REV., 1923.
- 17. J. P. Tompson [sic]. Procceping [sic] of the Royal Society of London, Series a, 117, pp 60&-609 (1928).
- 18. P. S. Tartakovskiy. \*Ekspnimental'nyye osnovaniya volnovoy teorii materii" [Experimental Bases of Wave 7heory of Matter]. Moscow/Leningrad, 1932.
- 19. Sushkin N. G., et al. DOKLADY AN SSSR, 1949, Vol 66, p 165.
- 20. D. Ye. Vakman. "Solzhnyye signaly i printsip neopredelennosti v radiolokatsii" [Compkx Signals and the Uncertainty Pfinciple in Radar]. Moscow: Izdatel'stvo "Sov. Radio," 1965.
- 2 1. E. Vikhman [sic]. "Quantum Physics.\* Berkeley Course of Physics. Moscow: Izdatel'stvo "Nauka,\* 1974.
- 22. Dzb. [sic) Trigg. \*~teshayushchiye eksperimenty v sovremennoy fizike" [Decisive Erperiments in Modem Physics]. Moscow: Izdatel'stvo "Mir," 1974.
- 23. Ya. D. Shirman. "Razresheniye i szhatiye signalov" [Resolution and Compression of W

Signals]. Izdatel'stvo "Sov. Radio, 1974.

24. G. Urkovitts. "Filters for detection of weak radar signals in interference reflections. VOPROSY RADIOLOKATSIONNOY TEKHNHU [Aspoects of Radar Technology], 1954, No 2.

25. P. A. Bakut, 1. A. Bolshakov, B. M. Gerasimov, A. A. Kuriksha, V. G. Repin, G. P. Tartakovskiy, V. V. Shirokov. "Voprosy statisticheskoy teorii radiolokatsfi" [Aspects of the Statistical Theory of Radar]. Moscow: Izdatel'stvo "Sov. Radio," 1963.

26. D. Middlton [sic]. "Vvedeniye v statisticheskuyu teor-iyu svyazi" [IntTWuction to Statistical Communications 71zeoryl. Moscow: Izddtel'stvo "Sov. Radio," 1962.

Q17 F. M. c . \*Teoriya veroyatnostey i teoriya infbrmatsii s primeneniyem k [o aZl]i

radiolokatsii ility Theo in on 7UM-ay-AppTked to- Ra a tel'stvo obab

-Sov. Radio, 955.Lq~ 'N53 lzda

28. V. Zibert. "General laws of target detection with radar." VOPROSY

RADIOLOKATSIONNOY TEKHNUU, No 5 (41), 1957.

29. A. S. Davydov. "Kvantovaya mekhanika\* [Quantum Mechanics]. Moscow: lzd,,ttel'stvo Nauka, - 1973.

[References 30 and 31 not included in reference list]

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Approved For Release 2000/08/15: CIA-RDP96-00792ROO0500230003-5

Filename: M:\stargate docs\ARRANGED\1992 - STARGATE MAINLY

NARCOTICS\CIA\_RDP96\_00792R000500230003.TXT